HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
Dated Nainital the 18th April, 2006
Bail Application No. 255 of 2006

Order on the bail application of the accused

CRIMINAL SIDE

Vijendra Singh
S/o Shri Bheem Singh
R/o Village and Post Assan
District - Rohtak (Haryana) …Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal … Opposite Party

In Case Crime No.192 of 2005
U/s 395, 412 I.P.C.
Thana Laxman Jhula,
District – Pauri Garhwal

Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

Supplementary affidavit filed by the learned counsel for the applicant be taken on record.

Heard Anurag Bisaria-learned counsel for the applicant, learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

The F.I.R. was lodged on 18.06.2005 alleging therein that in the previous night when the complainant was returning from Neelkanth temple alongwith his family members in his car, they were robbed by some unknown persons while they were on the way. The articles which have been recovered from the possession of the accused-applicant was looted from the complainant. The complexity of the applicant came into the light by the statement of the co-accused Devi Lal and Sunil who were arrested on 10.07.2005 and they named that the present applicant was also a co-accused in the matter. On 14.07.2005 when the other co-accused were being taken for the discovery of certain articles, the present applicant met on the way and they identified him. The applicant was arrested from whose possession golden rings were recovered. Thereafter, the accused was put to identification and the complainant and the witnesses had identified the accused-applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case in totality, it is not a fit case for bail at this stage.

The bail application is rejected accordingly.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.)

Dated 18.04.2006
Shiv